

UBCTP 92-14

May 1992

Z_N Phases in Hot Gauge Theories *

Wei Chen, Mikhail I. Dobroliubov[†], and Gordon W. Semenoff

*Department of Physics, University of British Columbia
Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T 1Z1*

PACS numbers: 11.15Ha, 11.15Kc, 12.38Mh, 12.38Bx

Abstract

We argue that the Z_N phases of hot gauge theories cannot be realized as a real system with an Hermitean density matrix.

*This work is supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

[†]On leave from Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

It is well known that the equilibrium properties of a system at finite temperature can be obtained by the investigation of its evolution in the imaginary time. The partition function is given as the functional integral in the Euclidean space [1]

$$Z = \int DA_\mu D\psi D\bar{\psi} \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{1}{4} F^2 + \bar{\psi} \not{D} \psi \right) \right\} , \quad (1)$$

with (anti-)periodic boundary conditions for the integration variables.

In hot $SU(N)$ theory, the effective potential for A_0 (or, more correctly, the expectation value of the Polyakov loop operator) has been computed [2] and shown to have local minima for configurations for which the Polyakov loop operator

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \text{Tr } P \exp \left\{ \int d\tau A_0(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \right\}$$

lies in the Z_N center of $SU(N)$, i.e.

$$\langle L(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \sim \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \frac{q}{N} \right\} , \quad q = 0, \dots, N-1 \quad .$$

They correspond to a uniform A_0 with values

$$A_0 = \frac{2\pi T}{Ng} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & -(N-1) \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2)$$

The global minimum occurs at $q = 0$, and, depending on the number of flavors of fermions, there can be local minima at other values of q .

One might be tempted to assume that $\langle L \rangle$ is an order parameter that distinguishes thermodynamic phases of the theory. In that case, although the global minimum of the effective potential is at $q = 0$, the local minima with $q \neq 0$ would correspond to metastable phases of the theory. A macroscopic bubble with any

particular q should be described by a thermal state or density matrix. This would assume that it is possible to impose certain constraints in the *real* space on the fields A_μ entering (1), so that the Euclidean description of this constrained system will correspond to only one particular minimum from (2). These systems are called Z_N phases of the thermal gauge theory.

Recently Z_N phases have been the object of numerous investigations mostly concerning the evolution of bubbles and their role in cosmology [3]. Later it was realized [4] that Z_N phases at $q = N/2$ (possible for even N) possess bizzare thermodynamic properties, namely for large enough q their fermionic subsystem has *positive* free energy, *negative* entropy and *negative* specific heat. It has been suggested that these properties indicate a general instability of Z_N phases, or rather that they do not exist at all as thermodynamics phases in that it is impossible to have a macroscopic quantity of Z_N matter. Though we agree with the final conclusion, we find these arguments not fully convincing.

First of all, there are cases with small enough ratio $q/N \lesssim 1/4$, (cf. [4]) where the fermionic contributions to all thermodynamical quantities will be normal. Such Z_N phases would not be excluded by the reasoning of [4].

Besides that, the positivity of the contribution to the total free energy of a subsystem does not necessarily lead to an instability of the whole system, because other subsystems can stabilize it. In many cases the contribution of gluons makes the total free energy of a Z_N phase negative (for example, in the case of QCD the free energy of Z_N phases is negative if the number of light quark flavors is less than 4 [4]). To obtain a definite answer to the question of whether a system is stable or not, it is necessary to analyze the spectra of its small fluctuations. We have investigated the properties of the gluonic and fermionic excitations and found that they don't provide the tachyonic modes for instability: the thermal masses and the damping rates

of gauge bosons and fermions in a particular Z_N phase are real and positive. The computations are straightforward. It was shown in [4] that the A_0 condensate can be taken into account by introducing an imaginary chemical potential for the fermions, which in turn is equivalent to using Matsubara frequencies $\pi T(2n+1+2q/N)$. The gluons are not modified by the condensate.

The thermal masses to leading order in $g^2 T^2$ are

$$\begin{aligned} m_g^2 &= \frac{1}{3} g^2 T^2 \left[N + \frac{1}{2} N_f \left(1 - \left(\frac{2q}{N} \right)_{\text{mod}1}^2 \right) \right] , \\ m_f^2 &= \frac{1}{8} g^2 T^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{2q}{N} \right)_{\text{mod}1}^2 \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

(The expression for the gluon thermal mass was first obtained by N.Weiss in [2].) Here $C_f = \frac{N^2-1}{2N}$ is the Casimir operator for the fundamental representation.

It is easy to see that the damping rates of the fermion and gluon excitations, when their spatial momentum is of order of T (as it is for the most excitations in the thermal bath), are normal. It was argued [5] that in the leading $g^2 \ln(1/g)$ order the damping rates are determined by the infrared *static* behaviour of the corresponding graphs. Obviously, except for the extremal case $q = N/2$, the fermion propagators in all Z_N phases are infrared finite, as for any n the fermion Matsubara frequency can never be zero, $p_0 = T\pi(2n+1+2q/N) \neq 0$ for any n . Therefore, only the gluon contributes to the damping rates in this order, similarly in all Z_N phases. It is straightforward to check, that this holds and in the case $q = N/2$, as the infrared singularity in the fermion propagator is cancelled by p_0 from the fermion loop in the case of gluon damping rate, and by $\not{p}$ in the numerator for the fermionic one (taking ghosts as an example, one can see! that the Bose distribution is necessarily but not sufficient to make a particle contribute to the damping rate at this order).

For the extreme case $q = N/2$ (possible when N is even) one can easily calculate as well the damping rates at zero spatial momentum. Indeed, as can be seen from

(3), in this case the fermions do not acquire the thermal mass in g^2T^2 order, therefore there is no need to resum their thermal loops, which must be resummed, as is the case for other q [6]. We obtained

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_g(\mathbf{p} = 0) &= \frac{1}{24\pi}(aN + 2N_f)g^2T \quad , \\ \gamma_f(\mathbf{p} = 0) &= \frac{1}{6\pi}C_fg^2T \quad ,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where $a = 6.6$ multiplies the gluonic contribution obtained by [6].

Thus we see that at the microscopic level the properties of the basic gluonic and fermionic excitations are qualitatively the same in all Z_N phases and do not provide modes for instability of the system. On the other hand, one would expect that a system with negative specific heat would be unstable to emission of radiation, even if, like the fermions in a Z_N phase, it is a weakly interacting subsystem of a larger system. A resolution of this seeming paradox is that the fermions cannot be treated as an independent subsystem. This could be done in a weakly interacting plasma, for example, where the condensate is absent. However, in the present system it is the very existence of the condensate, together with the assumption of weak coupling, which constrains the fermions to have unusual properties[4]. There is no natural way to separate the fermions and gluons in such a scenario.

However, aside from stability to fluctuations we must consider the question of whether Z_N matter can exist in a macroscopic region. To do so, it should be governed by some density matrix or thermal state with sensible properties. An indication that the state is metastable would be an imaginary part in the free energy, which here we would expect to appear when the contributions of tunneling to the stable $q=0$ phase is taken into account. However, at the perturbative level, one would expect the total free energy as well as other thermodynamics quantities to be real and display normal thermodynamics.

One such quantity is the particle number density of a particular fermion flavour in a Z_N phase. It proves to be *complex*, except for $q = 0, N/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi^\dagger \Psi \rangle &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} n(p) \quad , \\ n(p) &= 4N \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta(p-\mu)} e^{2\pi i \frac{q}{N}} + 1} - \frac{1}{e^{\beta(p+\mu)} e^{-2\pi i \frac{q}{N}} + 1} \right) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where for generality we took the real chemical potential μ to be non-zero [7]. So, we found that the expectation value of the Hermitean operator $\Psi^\dagger \Psi$ is complex for any μ and $q \neq 0, N/2$. Thus, even for the purpose of analyzing fluctuations, the Z_N phase cannot be described by an Hermitean density matrix or a state in a separable Hilbert space. This indicates that the state (i.e. a Z_N phase) cannot be prepared as a real macroscopic statistical system, or, equivalently, one cannot impose such gauge invariant constraints on the fields in the functional integral (1), which would pick up only one particular minimum from the row (2). If the only constraint is the gauge invariance then one finds all N minima at the same time [2], contributions of which should be summed up with the weights $\exp(-F_q)$, where F_q is the free energy of the q 's phase.

There are two possible loopholes in these arguments which could save Z_N phases:

- The cases with $q = 0, N/2$ have not been excluded, although we do not see any reason why these values of q can be different from others;
- If by some reasons (like tunneling, or something else) the true ground state of the metastable states is a superposition of the two Z_N phases with q and $(N-q)$, then they will have appropriate thermodynamical properties (cf. (3,4,5) and the discussion in the beginning of the paper about the macroscopical properties of the fermionic subsystem);

Otherwise the possibility of existing domains in the real space, the Euclidean de-

scription of which would correspond to non-zero A_0 condensate and Z_N phases, is unambiguously excluded.

We are grateful to I.Kogan for fruitful discussions and to N.Weiss, who pointed out to us on the possibility that Z_N phases cannot exist as real statistical systems.

References

- [1] T.Matsubara, Prog.Theor.Phys., **14**, 351 (1955)
- [2] N.Weiss, Phys.Rev. **D24**, 475 (1981), Phys.Rev. **D25**, 2667 (1982);
D.J.Gross, R.D.Pisarski, and L.G.Yaffe, Rev.Mod.Phys. **53**, 43 (1981)
- [3] T.Bhattacharaya, A.Gocksch, C.Korthals Altes, and R.D.Pisarski,
Phys.Rev.Lett. **66**, 998 (1991);
J.Ignatius, K.Kajantie, and K.Rummukainen, Phys.Rev.Lett. **68**, 737 (1992);
V.Dixit and M.C.Ogilvie, Phys.Lett **B269**, 353 (1991)
- [4] V.M.Belyaev, I.I.Kogan, G.Semenoff, and N.Weiss, Phys.Lett ??
- [5] C.P.Burgess and A.L.Marini, Phys.Rev. **D45**, R17 (1992);
A.Rebhan, CERN preprint CERN-TH.6375/92 (1992)
- [6] E.Braaten and R.D.Pisarski, Phys.Rev.Lett. **64** 1338 (1990), Nucl.Phys. **B337**,
569 (1990)
- [7] It is interesting to note, that integrated over μ , the number density gives the free energy of the system,

$$F = \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\log (1 + e^{\beta(p-\mu)} e^{2\pi i \frac{q}{N}}) + \log (1 + e^{\beta(p+\mu)} e^{-2\pi i \frac{q}{N}}) \right] ,$$

which is real only in the three cases: either when one sums q and $(N - q)$ (or over all possible q , as is the case for the usual vacuum), or when there is no real chemical potential, $\mu = 0$ (as was considered in [2, 4]), or, for any μ , when $q = 0, N/2$.